

North Lincolnshire Council's 'Arrangements'
for dealing with standards allegations under the Localism Act 2011

1 Context

These "Arrangements" set out how to make a complaint that an elected or co-opted Member of this Council or of a Parish or Town Council in the area has failed to comply with the Code of Conduct, and sets out how the Council will deal with allegations of a failure to comply with the Code of Conduct.

Under Sections 28(6) and (7) of the Localism Act 2011, the Council must have in place "arrangements" under which allegations that a Member or co-opted Member of the Council or of a Parish or Town Council in the area has failed to comply with the Code of Conduct can be investigated and decisions made on such allegations.

Such arrangements must provide for the Council to appoint at least one Independent Person whose views must be sought by the Council before it takes a decision on an allegation which it has decided shall be investigated, and whose views can be sought by the Council at any other stage, or by a Member or co-opted Member of a Parish or Town Council in the area against whom an allegation has been made.

2 The Code of Conduct

The Council has adopted a Code of Conduct for its Members, which is attached at Appendix 2 to these arrangements and is available for inspection on the Council's Website and on request from Reception at the Civic Offices.

Each Parish and Town Council is also required to adopt a Code of Conduct. (*The Parish and Town Councils within North Lincolnshire have all adopted the Council's Code of Conduct as at Appendix 2: to be determined*).

3 Making a complaint

If you wish to make a complaint, please complete the Model Complaint Form at Appendix 1. At this stage, please do not submit any additional documents. If further information is thought necessary to enable your complaint to be processed, you will be asked to produce it. Please send your completed form to:

"The Monitoring Officer"
North Lincolnshire Council
The Civic Centre
Ashby Road
SCUNTHORPE
DN16 1AB

Or -

standards@northlincs.gov.uk

The Monitoring Officer is a Senior Officer of the Council who has statutory responsibility for maintaining the Register of Members' Interests and is responsible for administering the system in respect of complaints of Member misconduct and is the Council's Proper Officer for such matters.

In order to ensure that we have all the relevant information which we need to be able to process your complaint, please complete the Model Complaint Form at Appendix 1, which can be downloaded from the Council's Website, next to the Code of Conduct, and is available on request from Reception at the Civic Offices. At this stage, we would ask that you confine your comments to the Model Complaint Form and do not submit any additional documents. If, on consideration of your complaint, further information/documentation is thought necessary, you will be asked to provide it and, if your complaint is the subject of investigation, the Investigating Officer will afford you the opportunity to provide further information/documentation in support of your complaint.

Please provide us with your name and a contact address or email address so we can acknowledge receipt of your complaint and keep you informed of its progress. If you want to keep your name and address confidential, please indicate this and the reason why, in the space provided on the Model Complaint Form.

As a matter of fairness and natural justice, a Member should usually be told who has complained about them. However, there may be exceptional circumstances where a complainant's identity should be withheld from disclosure such as where the complainant has reasonable grounds for believing they will be at risk of physical harm if their identity is disclosed or their employment may be placed at risk or there is a risk that any investigation may be impeded or interfered with. In such cases, the Monitoring Officer will refer the issue of disclosure to the Assessment Panel who, in reaching a decision on the issue, will carefully balance the public interest in ensuring that the Member complained of is aware of who has complained against them against the complainant's wish that their identity be withheld. The Council does not normally investigate anonymous complaints save where it considers the provisions in the Assessment Criteria at Appendix 3 on such complaints are met.

The Monitoring Officer will acknowledge receipt of your complaint within 5 working days of receiving it. A full copy of your complaint will, subject to any ruling on disclosure, ordinarily be sent to the Member complained of inviting their written comments within 10 working days. Thereafter, arrangements will be made for your complaint to be assessed as soon as practicable in accordance with the procedures detailed in paragraph 4.

It should be noted that complaints which allege that Members may have committed a criminal offence in breaching the 'disclosable pecuniary interest' provisions under Section 34 of the Localism Act 2011, will be referred by the Monitoring Officer to Humberside Police for consideration, in accordance with the Protocol at Appendix 8.

4 Will your complaint be investigated?

For complaints concerning Members of Parish and Town Councils, where those Members are not also Members of North Lincolnshire Council, ordinarily the Monitoring Officer will assess such complaints against the Assessment Criteria at Appendix 3 and, after consultation with the Independent Person, take a decision as to whether a formal investigation is merited.

The Monitoring Officer may, however, in his discretion refer complaints to an Assessment Panel to assess where he feels it reasonable and appropriate to do so such as where the Monitoring Officer has had prior involvement in the complaint.

For complaints concerning Members of North Lincolnshire Council (or where the Monitoring Officer has exercised his discretion to refer a Parish and Town Council related complaint) either in their capacity as Parish or Town Council Members or as Members of the Council, an Assessment Panel will be convened to assess whether the complaint should be investigated. In considering the matter, the Assessment Panel shall consult and consider the views of the Independent Person and apply the Assessment Criteria.

Decisions on whether a complaint will be investigated will normally be taken within 28 days of receipt of the complaint. A formal notice of decision will be issued in each case. The decision of the Monitoring Officer or Assessment Panel is final and is not subject to a right of appeal.

Where the Monitoring Officer or the Assessment Panel requires additional information in order to come to a decision, you may be contacted to provide such further information, as may the Member against whom your complaint is directed.

Where your complaint relates to a Member of a Parish or Town Council, the Monitoring Officer may also inform the Clerk of the Parish or Town Council concerned of your complaint and seek the views of the Parish or Town Council before deciding whether the complaint merits formal investigation

In appropriate cases, the Monitoring Officer or Assessment Panel may seek to resolve the complaint informally, without the need for a formal investigation. Such informal resolution may involve the Member accepting that his/her conduct was unacceptable and offering an apology or the complaint maybe considered suitable for mediation or such other remedial action by the Council on the recommendation of the Monitoring Officer. Where the Member concerned makes a reasonable offer of informal resolution such as an apology or agrees for the complaint to be mediated but you are not willing to accept that offer, the Monitoring Officer or the Assessment Panel will take this into account in deciding whether the complaint merits formal investigation.

5 How is the investigation conducted?

If the Monitoring Officer or Assessment Panel decides that a complaint merits formal investigation, the Monitoring Officer will appoint an Investigating Officer, who may be

another Senior Officer of the Council, an Officer of another Council or an external investigator.

The Council has adopted a model procedure for the investigation of complaints, which is attached at Appendix 4 together with explanatory flowchart. Ordinarily the Council would hope that investigations will take no more than 6 months to complete from the date the decision to investigate is taken. This will very much depend on the facts of each complaint and some investigations maybe concluded earlier and others may take longer.

It is vital to the timely completion of investigations that you, as the complainant, and the subject Member under investigation, comply with the reasonable requirements of the Investigating Officer in terms of interview attendance and supplying relevant documents. If you, as the complainant, do not the Investigating Officer may deem that you no longer wish to proceed with the complaint and refer the matter back to the Monitoring Officer or Assessment Panel for direction as to whether the investigation should be terminated. Similarly if the subject Member does not comply, the Investigating Officer will proceed to determine the investigation in the absence of their contribution and may draw an adverse inference from their non-cooperation.

The Investigating Officer will normally carry out the investigation in accordance with the model procedure detailed at Appendix 4 although may decide to depart from this if the circumstances of the complaint warrant it.

As an initial step, and subject to any ruling on disclosure, the Investigating Officer may write to the subject Member at the beginning of the investigation to see if the subject Member still wishes to contest the complaint. Subject to this the investigation will ordinarily follow the model procedure at Appendix 4.

At the end of the investigation, the Investigating Officer will produce a draft report and will send copies of the draft report, in confidence, to you and to the Member concerned to give you both an opportunity to identify any matter in that draft report which you disagree with or which you consider requires more consideration.

Having received and taken account of any comments which you may make on the draft report, the Investigating Officer will send his/her final report to the Monitoring Officer.

It should be noted that at any time during an investigation, the Investigating Officer may, following consultation with the Independent Person, refer the matter back to an Assessment Panel for re-consideration as to whether the investigation should proceed if the Investigating Officer considers that the Re-consideration Criteria in Appendix 3 are satisfied.

6 What happens if the Investigating Officer concludes that there is no evidence of a failure to comply with the Code of Conduct?

The Monitoring Officer will review the Investigating Officer's report and consult with the Independent Person thereon. If having done so the Monitoring Officer is satisfied that the Investigating Officer's report is sufficient, the Monitoring Officer will write to

you and to the Member concerned and to the Parish or Town Council on which the Member serves, notifying you that he is satisfied that no further action is required, and give you both a copy of the Investigating Officer's final report. If the Monitoring Officer is not satisfied that the investigation has been conducted properly, he may ask the Investigating Officer to re-consider his/her report.

7 What happens if the Investigating Officer concludes that there is evidence of a failure to comply with the Code of Conduct?

The Monitoring Officer will review the Investigating Officer's report and after consulting the Independent Person will consider whether Local Resolution should be attempted or the matter proceed direct to hearing before the Hearings Panel.

7.1 Local Resolution

The Monitoring Officer will consult with the Independent Person and with you as complainant and seek to resolve the complaint in a way that helps to ensure higher standards of conduct for the future. Such resolution may include the Member accepting that his/her conduct was unacceptable and offering an apology or the complaint being referred for mediation or such other remedial action as is considered appropriate in the circumstances. If the Member complies with the suggested resolution, the Monitoring Officer will report the matter to the Standards Committee and the Member's Council for information but will take no further action. However, if the Member concerned does not accept that the matter should be resolved in such a manner, the Monitoring Officer will refer the matter for a hearing before the Hearings Panel.

7.2 Hearings Panel

If the Monitoring Officer considers that Local Resolution is not appropriate or the Member concerned does not accept that the matter should be resolved in such a manner, then the Monitoring Officer will report the Investigating Officer's report to the Hearings Panel which will conduct a Hearing before deciding whether the Member has failed to comply with the Code of Conduct and, if so, whether to take any action in respect of the failure.

In readiness for the convening of the Hearings Panel, the Monitoring Officer will conduct a written "pre-hearing process", requiring the Member concerned to give their response to the Investigating Officer's report in order to identify what is likely to be agreed and what is likely to be in contention at the Hearing.

If, through the "pre-hearing process", the Member concerned accepts the Investigating Officer's report, they will be given the opportunity to provide a statement of mitigation which they may invite the Hearings Panel to consider in their absence. Alternatively, they may elect to attend a Hearings Panel to present their mitigation. In either case, the 'uncontested' hearing procedure will be followed as set out at Appendix 5.

Where, following the "pre-hearing process", the Investigating Officer's report remains in contention, the matter will be set down for a 'contested' hearing before the

Hearings Panel. The 'contested' hearing procedure will be followed as set out at Appendix 6. In essence, at the Hearing, the Investigating Officer will present his/her report, call such witnesses as he/she considers necessary and make representations to substantiate his/her conclusion that the Member has failed to comply with the Code of Conduct. For this purpose, the Investigating Officer may ask you, as the complainant, to attend and give evidence to the Hearings Panel. Unless called to do so by the Investigating Officer, you do not have the right to address the Hearings Panel of your own volition. The Member will then have an opportunity to give his/her evidence, to call witnesses and to make representations to the Hearings Panel as to why he/she considers that he/she did not fail to comply with the Code of Conduct. The Member may be represented legally or otherwise at the Hearings Panel.

The Hearings Panel, with the benefit of any advice from the Independent Person, may conclude that the Member did not fail to comply with the Code of Conduct and so dismiss the complaint. If the Hearings Panel concludes that the Member did fail to comply with the Code of Conduct, the Chair will inform the Member of this finding and the Hearings Panel will then consider what action, if any, the Hearings Panel should recommend as a result of the Member's failure to comply with the Code of Conduct. In doing this, the Hearings Panel will give the Member an opportunity to make representations to the Panel and will consult the Independent Person but will then decide what action, if any, to take in respect of the matter.

8 What action can the Hearings Panel take where a Member has failed to comply with the Code of Conduct?

The Council has delegated to the Hearings Panel such of its powers to take action in respect of individual Members as may be necessary to promote and maintain high standards of conduct. Accordingly, the Hearings Panel may -

- 8.1 Write to the Member over their conduct;
- 8.2 Publish its findings in the local media in respect of the Member's conduct;
- 8.3 Report its findings to the Council or to the Parish or Town Council concerned;
- 8.4 Recommend to the Member's Group Leader (or in the case of ungrouped Members, recommend to the Council or to Committees) that he/she be removed from any or all Committees or Sub-Committees of the Council;
- 8.5 Recommend to the Leader of the Council that the Member be removed from the Cabinet or removed from particular Portfolio responsibilities;
- 8.6 Instruct the Monitoring Officer to, or recommend to the Parish or Town Council concerned that it, arrange training for the Member;
- 8.7 Recommend removal, or recommend to the Parish or Town Council concerned removal, of the Member from all outside appointments to

which he/she has been appointed or nominated by the Council or the Parish or Town Council;

- 8.8 Withdraw, or recommend to the Parish or Town Council concerned that it withdraws, facilities provided to the Member by the Council, such as a computer, website and/or email and Internet access; or
- 8.9 Recommend that any aspects of the complaint that are considered suitable be referred for mediation between the parties; or
- 8.10 Exclude, or recommend to the Parish or Town Council concerned that it excludes, the Member from the Council's Offices or other premises with the exception of meeting rooms as necessary for attending Council, Committee and Sub-Committee Meetings.

The Hearings Panel has no power to suspend or disqualify the Member or to withdraw a Member's basic allowance or any special responsibility allowances.

9 What happens at the end of the Hearing?

At the end of the Hearing, the Chair will state the decision of the Hearings Panel as to whether the Member has failed to comply with the Code of Conduct and as to any action which the Hearings Panel resolves to take.

As soon as reasonably practicable thereafter, the Monitoring Officer shall prepare a formal decision notice in consultation with the Chair of the Hearings Panel and send a copy to you, to the Member and to any Parish and Town Council concerned and make such decision notice available for public inspection and report the decision to the next convenient Meeting of the Standards Committee.

The decision of the Hearings Panel is final and is not subject to a right of appeal.

10 Who are the Assessment and Hearings Panel?

The Assessment Panel and the Hearings Panel are Sub-Committees of the Council's Standards Committee. The Standards Committee has decided that each of those Panels will comprise a maximum of 3 elected Members of the Council's Standards Committee drawn from at least 2 different political Parties. Subject to those requirements, they are appointed on the nomination of Party Group Leaders in proportion to the strengths of each Party Group on the Council. Co-opted, non-voting Members of the Standards Committee may be asked to sit on such Panels.

The Independent Person is invited to attend all Meetings of the Assessment Panel and the Hearings Panel and his/her views are sought and taken into consideration before the Monitoring Officer or Assessment Panel takes any decision on whether a complaint should be investigated. The Hearings Panel will seek the Independent Person's views on whether a Member's conduct constitutes a failure to comply with the Code of Conduct and as to any action to be taken following a finding of failure to comply with the Code of Conduct.

11 Who is the Independent Person?

The Independent Person is a person who has applied for the Post following advertisement of a vacancy for the Post and is then appointed by a positive vote from a majority of all the Members of the Council.

A person cannot be "independent" if he/she -

- 11.1 Is, or has been within the past 5 years, a Member, co-opted Member or Officer of the Council;
- 11.2 Is, or has been within the past 5 years, a Member, co-opted Member or Officer of a Parish or Town Council within the Council's area; or
- 11.3 Is a relative or close friend of a person defined at paragraph 11.1 or 11.2 above. For this purpose, "relative" means –
 - 11.1.1 Spouse or civil partner;
 - 11.1.2 Living with the other person as husband and wife or as if they were civil partners;
 - 11.1.3 Grandparent of the other person;
 - 11.1.4 A lineal descendent of a grandparent of the other person;
 - 11.1.5 A parent, sibling or child of a person defined at paragraphs 11.3.1 or 11.3.2;
 - 11.1.6 A spouse or civil partner of a person defined at paragraphs 11.3.3, 11.3.4 or 11.3.5; or
 - 11.1.7 Living with a person defined at paragraphs 11.3.3, 11.3.4 or 11.3.5 as husband and wife or as if they were civil partners.

12 Revision of these arrangements

The Standards Committee may amend these arrangements.

The Chair of any Assessment Panel or Hearings Panel may, following consultation with the Independent Person, depart from the procedures detailed herein where he/she considers it is expedient to do so in order to secure the effective and fair consideration of any matter, including the convention of an external panel where Members are conflicted.

For the avoidance of doubt, Members who have sat on an Assessment Panel are not precluded from sitting on a subsequent Hearings Panel called to determine the same complaint as considered by the Assessment Panel.

If the Monitoring Officer considers that he may be conflicted in the discharge of these arrangements, he may delegate such matters to a Deputy Monitoring Officer to discharge.

13 Appeals

There is no right of appeal for you as complainant or for the Member against a decision of the Monitoring Officer, the Assessment Panel or the Hearings Panel.

If you feel that the Council has failed to deal with your complaint in accordance with these arrangements, you may make a complaint to the Local Government Ombudsman.

Appendix 1	Model Complaint Form	} NOT INCLUDED.
Appendix 2	The Council's Code of Conduct	
Appendix 3	Assessment Criteria	
Appendix 4	Procedure for Investigations	
Appendix 5	'Uncontested' hearing procedure	
Appendix 6	'Contested' hearing procedure	
Appendix 7	Overview of process	
Appendix 8*	Protocol reporting potential criminal offences	

Will Bell
Monitoring Officer
April 2012

* as amended on 27 March 2013 by the Standards Committee

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Stage 1 Criteria

Before the assessment of a complaint begins, the Monitoring Officer or Assessment Panel must be satisfied that the complaint meets the following requirements:

- (i) It is a complaint against one or more named Members of the Council or a Parish or Town Council within the area;
- (ii) The named Member or Members were in Office at the time of the alleged conduct and acting in their official capacity; and
- (iii) The complaint, if proven, would be a breach of the Council's Code of Conduct in force at the relevant time.

If the complaint fails any one of these tests, it cannot be investigated as a breach of the Council's Code of Conduct and the complainant must be informed that no further action can be taken in relation to the complaint. If it passes all three tests then it can be assessed according to the criteria set out below.

Stage 2 Criteria

The Monitoring Officer or Assessment Panel is/are unlikely to refer a complaint for investigation where it falls into any of the following categories:-

- (a) The complaint appears to be vexatious, malicious, politically motivated, relatively minor, insufficiently serious, tit-for-tat, or there are other reasons why an investigation may not be in the public interest.
- (b) The same, or substantially similar, complaint has already been the subject of assessment or investigation and there is nothing more to be gained by further action being taken.
- (c) It appears that the complaint concerns or is really about dissatisfaction with a Council decision or policy rather than a breach of the Code of Conduct.
- (d) There is not enough information currently available to justify a decision to refer the matter for investigation.


- (e) The complaint is about someone who has died, resigned, is seriously ill or is no longer a Member of the Council concerned and therefore it is not in the public interest to pursue.
- (f) Where the allegation is anonymous, unless it includes documentary or photographic evidence indicating an exceptionally serious or significant matter and it is considered in the public interest that it be investigated.
- (g) Where the event/s or incident/s took place more than 6 months prior to the date of complaint being received or where those involved are unlikely to remember the event/s or incident/s clearly enough to provide credible evidence.
- (h) The complaint is such that it is unlikely that an investigation will be able to come to a firm conclusion on the matter and where independent evidence is likely to be difficult or impossible to obtain.
- (i) If it is considered that the subject Member has **offered** a satisfactory remedy to the complainant (for example by apologising) or the complaint is capable of other informal resolution **such as mediation** and the Member complained of is amenable to such approach.
- (j) If it is satisfied that having regard to the nature of the complaint and the level of its potential seriousness, the public interest in conducting an investigation does not justify the cost of such an investigation.
- (k) Where the allegation discloses a potential breach of the Code of Conduct but it is considered that the complaint is not serious enough to warrant any further action and:
 - the Member and Officer resource needed to investigate and determine the complaint is wholly disproportionate to the matter complained about; or
 - **in** all the circumstances there is no overriding public benefit or interest in carrying out an investigation.

RE-CONSIDERATION CRITERIA

The Investigating Officer may, following consultation with the Independent Person, refer an investigation to an Assessment Panel for re-consideration as to whether the investigation should proceed where:

- As a result of new evidence or information, the Investigating Officer is of the opinion that the matter is materially less serious than may have seemed apparent to the Monitoring Officer or Assessment Panel when the decision was made to refer the complaint for investigation, and a different decision may have been made had either the Monitoring Officer or Assessment Panel been aware of the new evidence or information;
- The Member who is the subject of the allegation has died, is seriously ill or has resigned from the Council concerned and in the circumstances the Investigating Officer is of the opinion that it is no longer appropriate to proceed with the investigation; or
- Other circumstances arise, which in the reasonable opinion of the Investigating Officer, render it appropriate for the investigation to be referred to an Assessment Panel for re-consideration.

PROCEDURE FOR INVESTIGATIONS



KEY
 I.O - Investigating Officer
 M.O - Monitoring Officer
 C - Complainant
 M - Member

I.O will then produce final report for M.O

**STANDARDS COMMITTEE
OUTLINE PROCEDURE FOR HEARINGS PANEL (UNCONTESTED)**

Preliminary Procedural Issues

1. Introductions.
2. Declarations of Interest (if any).
3. To consider any request for the exclusion of Press and Public.

Breach of the Code of Conduct

4. Monitoring Officer (or his/her representative) to present summary report, including the findings of fact made by the Investigating Officer, and refer to outcome of pre-hearing process.
5. Views of the Independent Person sought.
6. Members of the Panel to raise/clarify issues.
7. The Panel will retire, along with the Monitoring Officer, to determine whether there has been a breach of the Code of Conduct. The Panel's decision will be reported back to the meeting by the Head of Democratic Services.

Action to be taken

8. Monitoring Officer (or his/her representative) to outline possible sanctions.
9. Investigating officer to make submissions on appropriate sanction, if any.
10. Member or (his/her representative) to present statement of, or provide oral, mitigation, including on what sanction, if any, should be imposed.
11. Views of the Independent Person sought.
12. Members of the panel to raise/clarify issues.
13. The Panel will retire, along with the Monitoring Officer, to consider what sanction, if any, should be imposed. The Panel's decision will be reported back to the meeting by the Head of Democratic Services.

Close of Hearing

**STANDARDS COMMITTEE
OUTLINE PROCEDURE FOR HEARINGS PANEL (CONTESTED)**

Preliminary Procedural Issues

1. Introductions.
2. Declarations of Interest (if any).
3. To consider any request for the exclusion of Press and Public.

Findings of Fact

4. Monitoring Officer (or his/her representative) to present summary report and refer to outcome of pre-hearing process.
5. Investigating Officer to present report and call such witnesses as he/she considers necessary to substantiate his/her conclusion(s) within the report.
6. Member (or his/her representative) to raise/clarify issues with the Investigating Officer, including the questioning of the Investigating Officer's witnesses.
7. Members of the Panel to raise/clarify issues with the Investigating Officer, including the questioning of the Investigating Officer's witnesses.
8. Member (or his/her representative) to present their case and call such witnesses as he/she considers necessary.
9. Investigating Officer to raise/clarify issues with the Member (or his/her representative), including the questioning of the Member's witnesses.
10. Members of the Panel to raise/clarify issues with the Member (or his/her representative), including the questioning of the Member's witnesses.
11. Views of the Independent Person sought.
12. Members of the Panel to raise/clarify issues with the Independent Person.
13. The Panel will retire, along with the Monitoring Officer, to determine its findings of fact. The Panel's decision will be reported back to the meeting by the Head of Democratic Services.

Breach of the Code of Conduct

14. The Panel will need to consider whether or not, based on the facts it has found, the Member has breached the Code of Conduct.

15. Investigating Officer to address the Panel on whether the facts found constitute a breach of the Code of Conduct.
16. Member (or his/her representative) to address the Panel as to why the facts found do not constitute a breach of the Code of Conduct.
17. Views of the Independent Person sought.
18. Members of the Panel to raise/clarify issues.
19. The Panel will retire, along with the Monitoring Officer, to determine whether there has been a breach of the Code of Conduct. The Panel's decision will be reported back to the meeting by the Head of Democratic Services.


(If the Panel determine that there has been no breach of the Code of Conduct, the complaint will be dismissed. If, however, the Panel determine that there has been a breach of the Code of Conduct, the procedure at paragraph 20 will apply).

Action to be taken

20. The Panel will need to determine what sanction, if any, should be imposed as a result of the Member's breach of the Code of Conduct.
21. Monitoring Officer (or his/her representative) to outline possible sanctions.
22. Investigating officer to make submissions on appropriate sanction, if any.
23. Member (or his/her representative) to make submissions on whether any sanction should be imposed.
24. Views of the Independent Person sought.
25. Members of the panel to raise /clarify issues.
26. The Panel will retire, along with the Monitoring Officer, to consider what sanction, if any, should be imposed. The Panel's decision will be reported back to the meeting by the Head of Democratic Services.

Close of Hearing

OVERVIEW OF NLC PROCESS



PROTOCOL

BETWEEN

**NORTH LINCOLNSHIRE AND NORTH EAST LINCOLNSHIRE COUNCIL
MONITORING OFFICERS**

AND

HUMBERSIDE POLICE

Purpose - To agree a protocol for the reporting of potential criminal offences arising under Section 34 of the Localism Act 2011 concerning the registration and/or disclosure of Disclosable Pecuniary Interests (DPIs) (as defined in the Relevant Authorities (Disclosable Pecuniary Interests) Regulations 2012).

1. In the event that a Monitoring Officer receives a complaint regarding a potential DPI offence they will make immediate contact with Humberside Police through the nominated single point of contact currently DS Mike Wood, Economic Crime Unit email Michael.Wood@humbersidepolice.pnn.police.uk Telephone: 01482 578942 (ext 6942).
2. Similarly if Humberside Police receives a complaint they will inform the relevant Monitoring Officer (each authority will be responsible for providing up to date contact details of their appointed Monitoring Officer from time to time).
3. Humberside Police will register the complaint and conduct an initial assessment of the complaint but may approach the relevant Monitoring Officer for background information on the complaint.
4. If Humberside Police decide not to prosecute the matter they will normally pass the relevant evidence to the relevant Monitoring Officer so that consideration can be given to a Code of Conduct breach being pursued. In the event that the relevant Council decides to pursue a Code of Conduct breach they will inform Humberside Police of their decision.
5. Both the relevant Monitoring Officer and Humberside Police will endeavour to keep complainants regularly updated as to the progress of complaints.

